

1 (Dkt. # 174); (3) the CNJ Defendants' motion to seal (Dkt. # 175) their responsive
2 memorandum (Dkt. # 176) to DG21's motion to lift the stipulated protective order
3 limiting discovery; and (4) the CNJ Defendants' motion to seal (Dkt. # 183) their reply
4 memorandum (Dkt. # 184) in support of their motion to stay discovery and the
5 declaration of Stephen L. Nelson (Dkt. # 184-1). No party has filed an opposition to any
6 of these motions. The court grants these motions (Dkt. ## 166, 172, 175 & 183).
7 Pursuant to Western District of Washington Local Rule CR5(g)(2), the court may,

for "good cause under Rule 26(c)" seal a document attached to a nondispositive motion. Local Rules W.D. Wash. CR 5(g)(2). Defendants have requested permission to file the foregoing documents under seal because they contain information about a grand jury proceeding which has not yet been made public. Having reviewed the documents at issue, the court concurs that, except for the Nelson declaration, all of the documents contain this type of information, that this constitutes "good cause" to seal these documents, and that redaction would be impractical. Further, although the Nelson declaration does not contain information about the nonpublic grand jury proceeding, it does contain private financial information. This also constitutes "good cause" to seal the document. *See, e.g., Wacom, Ltd. v. Hanvon Corp.*, No. C06-5710RJB, 2008 WL 623631, at *2-*3 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 4, 2008) (finding confidential financial documents a compelling reason to seal).

The court finds that Defendants have met the "good cause" standard under Local Rule CR 5(g)(2), and GRANTS Defendants' motions to seal (Dkt. ## 166, 172, 175 &

183). Accordingly, the court directs the clerk to maintain the seal with regard to docket numbers 167, 173, 174, 176, and 184. Dated this 10th day of June, 2011. m R. Plut JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge